Privacy Issues and HIT PBM Perspectives

Phillip A. Rothermich Express Scripts, Inc.

On behalf of the Pharmaceutical Care Management Association

Context – Why PBMs?

- PBMs are aggregators of prescription history information by virtue of paying claims
- Drug history can enhance quality of care by alerting clinicians to potential drug/drug interactions or other ADEs, and thereby can enhance efficiency in the health care system
- Not *directly* a stakeholder except in the role of helping clients make prescription drugs safer and more affordable for their members

Issues - Overview

- Each piece of omitted information is a lost opportunity
- Systems generally cannot accommodate individualized approach
- Difficult to find consensus on whether or what to exclude:
 - Difficult to assign drugs to diseases
 - Drug claims typically do not include diagnosis
 - Off-label uses common

Issues - Overview

- HIPAA generally NOT itself an issue as most potential uses of drug history information relate to treatment, payment or healthcare operations
- Varying state privacy laws create confusion and make it difficult to find a uniform approach (and HIPAA preemption is not always clear)
- Lack of consistent approach among information sources can lead to misunderstanding among clinicians (may not be clear what is missing)

Potential Approaches

Approach	Viability	Comments
Individual opt-in	$\overline{\otimes}$	Not feasible to administer; Inordinate lost opportunities
Individual opt-out	3	Not feasible to administer unless burden left to clinician (similar to HIPAA – with provider having option not to treat)
Individual opt-out by Drug Class (or other categorization)	$\dot{\odot}$	Not feasible to administer; Wouldn't meet needs of patients
Send everything for everyone	:	Generally acceptable given existing common law and HIPAA protections, but likely to make some privacy advocates uncomfortable
Filter out certain drugs for everyone	:	Likely to be best approach so long as agreement can be reached on common exclusion list; Common candidates for exclusion often the most relevant to clinicians - clinicians would need to know what may be missing
Send nothing for anyone	$\overline{\mathbf{S}}$	Significant lost opportunities in both quality and cost savings

Solution

- Need to **balance** competing priorities between **enhancing safety and efficiency** in health care, **protecting individual privacy**, and finding an **administratively feasible solution**
- Need:
 - **Standards** (a common framework for inclusion/exclusion of information when sharing health care records)
 - Stronger federal preemption uniform approach to privacy
 - Consideration of systems issues (solution should not ADD costs to the system nor effectively eliminate the possibility of providing the information, e.g., create requirements that are impossible to meet)

Contact Information

Phillip A. Rothermich Vice President, Business Development Express Scripts, Inc. 13900 Riverport Drive Maryland Heights, MO 63043 (314) 702-7109 fax (314) 702-7657 prothermich@express-scripts.com