## Data Linkages to Improve Health Outcomes

## **An End User Perspective**

Deborah Schrag MD Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics Department of Medicine Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center

# **Overview**

Types of research questions

Examples of linkage attempts

- Challenges encountered
- Wish list

# **Health Services Research**

- Examines relationships between need, demand, supply, delivery and outcomes of health care:
  - Disparities in care
  - Access/barriers to care
  - Technology dissemination
  - Quality measurement
  - Efficiency of care delivery

# Layers of Data



# **Evaluating the Quality of Health Care**



# The Spectrum of Sources for Clinical Research Data

#### Population-Based data

- All patients in NY State with lung cancer
- Data Source: Cancer Registry and Census Data

#### Quasi-population-based data

- All patients in NY State with lung cancer covered by Oxford
- Data Source: Medical records and claims for care from Oxford

#### Non-population-based data

All NY State patients evaluated at MSKCC with lung cancer

#### Health Services Research Strategy:

 Simultaneous use of various data sources and juxtaposition of analyses can reveal opportunities for improving health care delivery

# **Implementation Gap**

- Efficacy Effectiveness = Implementation Gap
- Need to understand <u>reasons</u> for gaps
- Identify important and remediable sources of variation
  - Endogenous to patients
  - Endogenous to MDs
  - Endogenous to health care system

# Adjuvant Chemotherapy Use By Age Stage III Colon Cancer in SEER-Medicare



## Stage III Adjuvant Chemotherapy By Marital Status



married 0.62, 95% CI 0.54-0.72)

# **Linking to Providers**

- Why don't all patients get chemotherapy?
- Do they refuse?
- Do they see a medical oncologist postoperatively?
- UPINs on CMS claims can be linked to CMS specialty codes but the data are incomplete

## Medical Oncology Visits Medicare Claims Indicate Provider Specialty



Most patients who forego adjuvant therapy make treatment decisions without oncology consultations. Provider specialty cannot be precisely specified----some oncologists are internists

## **Wish List: Provider Characteristics**

- Linkage of UPINs on claims data to files describing physician characteristics
- AMA data is better than CMS data
- ABIM/ACS is better than AMA
- State-level data is most complete and most difficult to obtain

# **Pharmacy Claims**

- Oral chemotherapy?
- Anti nausea medications?
- Adherence to therapy
- Pain control
- Wish list:
  - Part D data
  - Medicaid data
  - Private claims data sets

# **Taxonomy of Data Custody Types**



## **Capacity for Mammography in the US**

- US women age 40-80 need mammograms
- Many women unscreened
- Large racial disparities
- Lack of facilities and radiologists are potential reasons for sub-optimal use
- Does lack of capacity explain geographic variation in use? Racial disparities?
- Does capacity for mammography predict breast cancer incidence and mortality?
- Geocoding

# **Data Sources**

- Where are the facilities? FDA accreditation data
- Where are the radiologists? AMA/States
- Where are women unscreened? BRFSS, Medicare
- Where are the high rates of breast cancer? SEER
- Data desired at census tract level
- Where to start to obtain permissions?
- Approval from one agency or many?
- Central clearinghouse, clearly delineated procedures would help

# **Area versus Person-Level Data**

- Access to granular area level data helps most health services researchers
- Privacy/security concerns involve less risk
- Enables researchers to extract greater information from their own person-level data sets

# **Layers of Data Access**



Anonymized patient data linked to unit area

Area level data: State, County, Zip code, Census tract

# **Repetitive Common Tasks**

- Geographic variation:
- Where are? :
- Patients
- Providers/services
- Disparities
- Mortality rates

# Wish List

- Access to chloropleth maps
  - By county, zip code, census tract
  - Useful for common data elements census/survey data results
  - Shared resource for investigators
  - ArcGIS software

# **Fragmentation of Care**

- Do patients with chronic conditions in NY State where there are many hospitals consolidate their care or is it fragmented across multiple institutions?
- Is fragmentation higher in the Medicaid program?
- SPARCS: statewide discharge database
  - Available from states
  - Some states have data available from NCHS
- State discharge data not linked to patient residence/census data
- Medicaid enrollment data

# Medicaid

- Largest component of state budgets
- Health care for poorest, often sickest members of society
- Untapped resource because of complexity of data structure, organization and access, completeness
- Enrollment versus process

The perfect as the enemy of the good

### **1998 Incident Primary Cancers Reported to CCR and % in Medi-Cal**

Tumor Site	Incid the Ca	Incident Cancer Cases from the California Cancer Registry			Cases in CCR and Medi-Cal	
	All 1998 Cases	% age 18-64 at Diagnosis	1998 Cases, Age 18-64	Medi-Cal and CCR	As % of All Cases, Age 18-64	
Breast	20,864	54.6%	11392	1014	8.9%	
Lung	17,004	30.6%	5203	997	19.2%	
Colorectal	10,254	27.3%	2796	557	19.9%	
Cervical	1,690	79.9%	1350	287	21.3%	
Prostate	19,001	29.5%	5605	268	4.8%	
Testis	937	93.6%	877	78	8.9%	
Uterine	3,587	48%	1722	176	10.2%	
Bladder	5,452	26.5%	1445	113	7.8%	
Hepatoma	1,570	53.3%	836	288	34.4%	
Stomach	2,552	32.2%	822	197	24.0%	

## **Duration and Timing of Medi-Cal Enrollment**

### in Relation to Cancer Diagnosis

Number of patients recorded in CCR with cancer diagnosis between 7/97-6/98 with records in 1/97-12/98 Medicaid files	# of patients 7264	% of cohort 100%			
Duration of Medicaid Enrollment over 24-month interval					
Entire 24 months	3443	47%			
13-23 months	1671	23%			
7-12 months	1540	22%			
1-6 months	610	8%			
Medicaid Enrollment Status at month of diagnosis					
YES, Enrolled during month of diagnosis	5364	74%			
NO, First enrolled after month of diagnosis*	1698	23%			
NO, Enrolled prior to diagnosis but not during month of diagnosis	202	3%			
of these, 905/1698=53% enrolled within 3 months of					

diagnosis.

# How often do California Medicaid claims corroborate cancer site specific diagnoses reported to the CCR?

Cancer Type	# of CCR- Medicaid enrollees with 1998 cancer diagnoses	# (%) of Patients diagnosed at anytime during 1998 with a corroborating diagnosis code recorded in 1998 Medicaid claims files	# of Patients Enrolled in Medicaid in 1998 with CCR cancer diagnosis in the <i>first</i> 6 <i>months</i> of 1998	# (%) of Patients from preceding column who have a cancer diagnosis code recorded in 1998 (entire year) Medicaid claims files
Breast	1014	770 (76%)	549	436 (80%)
Lung	997	751 (75%)	523	416 (80%)
Colorectal	557	394 (71%)	274	207 (76%)
Cervical	287	207 (72%)	146	117 (80%)
Prostate	268	176 (66%)	127	93 (73%)
Testis	78	60 (77%)	38	28 (74%)
Uterine	176	124 (70%)	86	64 (74%)
Bladder	113	86 (76%)	53	43 (81%)
Liver	288	180 (63%)	151	<b>99 (66%)</b>

# **Medicaid Data**

#### SEER-Medicaid Data

- Attempted link in California
- 2 years to obtain data sets
- Denominator file structure limits ability to identify cohorts of the chronically poor

#### Challenges:

- Retroactive enrollment
- Chronic vs. episodic poverty
- Spend downs—illness precipitates enrollment
- Variation in states thresholds/generosity
- Definition of an HMO

# Wish List: Medicaid Data

- Consistent definitions in Medicaid enrollment files
  - What does managed care mean?
  - When are claims itemized?
- Linkages of Medicaid data files to state discharge abstracts
- Geocoding of where Medicaid beneficiaries reside
- Linkage to pharmacy data
- Linkage to census tract socioeconomic variables

# **Priorities**

- Coordination of procedures for obtaining access to data and the review process
- Standardization of reporting rules (e.g. N must not be less than 10)
- Develop categorization schema for types of linkages
- Central clearinghouse/index describing linkages that exist as well as those that are possible
- Facilitate federation of state data
- Chloropleth maps for use in commons based systems
- Work with states to facilitate analyses of Medicaid enrollment and claims files