

**National Committee on Vital and Health Statistics  
Subcommittee for Privacy, Confidentiality, and Security  
June 15, 2010**

**Sensitive Information in Medical  
Records-  
Panel IV: Other Sensitive Information  
Patient Anonymity**

**Dan Rode, MBA, CHPS, FHFMA  
Vice President, Policy and Government Relations  
American Health Information Management Association**

# AHIMA:

- 82-year old non-profit, professional association – health information management (HIM)
- 7 Professional credentials including Certified in Healthcare Privacy and Security (CHPS)
- 57,000 + members/40 employer types/close to 125 different functions related to HIM and informatics including privacy and security officers as well as release of information officers (ROI)
- HIM= information and information systems: collection, abstraction, coding, auditing, reporting, transfer, storage, analysis, and protection (privacy and security)
- Standards for: data collection, use and exchange, classifications

# The Questions:

- In what circumstances are patients admitted under a pseudonym/alias, such as victims of violent crime (e.g. gunshot wounds), celebrities, cosmetic surgery, etc?
- Is there a policy for this sort of thing that is nationally recognized, or is this all done on an ad hoc basis?

## Quick Answers:

- **With limited time AHIMA was not able to conduct a survey of members, instead a non-scientific set of members were contacted along with members of AHIMA Privacy and Security Practice Council.**
- **There is currently no national policy related to patient anonymity. Most facilities, including large practices, have a policy, with HIPAA setting the ground for the facility practice.**
- **AHIMA issued an updated practice brief in 2001.**

## **Environment:**

- **Providers are in a paper – hybrid – or electronic health record environment**
- **Providers are engaged in multiple systems of data and records within and external to their organization**
- **Most providers have yet to deal with electronic health information exchange outside of their own system**
- **Every provide is faced with federal and state**

# **AHIMA Practice Brief:**

- **Updated to reflect HIPAA – future updates (HITECH)**
- **Operational approach**
- **Highlights use of facility directory**
- **Provides 15 specific recommendations related to  
protecting against threats to patient privacy**

## **Use of Anonymity:**

- **Works better in a fully paper environment than a hybrid or electronic health records (EHRs)**
- **Organizations using alias names (more often) or an identifier number**
- **Several patient safety issues were raised in several facilities**
  - **higher in some facilities with EHRs**
  - **problem if a repeating patient (before or after)**
  - **some sequestering if stand-alone procedure such**

## **Use of Anonymity (continued):**

- **Facility policy, but not necessarily included in any on-going training (except for “celebrity facilities”)**
- **“Treatment” facilities better trained but must deal with celebrity issues**
- **Anonymity lifted after patient discharge**
- **Use of flags or notation for post-discharge anonymity varies widely**



# **Use of Facility Directory:**

- **Facility directory notation in wide use**
  - **application and training varies – employees and volunteers**
- **Several facilities have direct link to facility security or other department(s) to handle all inquiries**
- **Directory content varies but the “message is clear”**
- **Many facilities indicate the directory process works**

## **Electronic Access and Audit:**

- **Electronic access controls and recording limit problems unlike paper or hybrid environments**
- **When faced with a key patient, several facilities:**
  - **increase audit activity of patient's record or**
  - **add additional limits on access during stay**
- **Most facilities are moving to immediate disciplinary action for improper access**

## **What works:**

- **Policy(s) in place that reflects federal and state laws as well as the record system and environment**
- **Clear understanding of “directory” potential and issues of patient safety**
- **Process(es) in place that identify situations where anonymity is needed to address patient request or situation and clear understand of individual responsibilities**

## **Next steps:**

- **AHIMA:**
  - **Work with NCVHS & others as needed**
  - **Coordinate with HITECH**
  - **Review of practice brief, education, and training**
    - **Articles and attention to problems**
- **NCVHS:**
  - **If needed, further look at patient safety issues related to admissions with anonymity**
  - **Coordinate recommendations with HITECH**
  - **Push for uniformity!**

## Resource:

- Practice Brief: Patient Anonymity (Updated)  
go to [www.ahima.org](http://www.ahima.org) and search for “practice brief: patient anonymity (updated), or go to

[http://library.ahima.org/xpedio/groups/public/documents/ahima/bok1\\_000029.hcsp?dDocName=bok1\\_000029](http://library.ahima.org/xpedio/groups/public/documents/ahima/bok1_000029.hcsp?dDocName=bok1_000029)



# Questions

**Dan Rode, MBA, CHPS, FHFMA**  
**Vice President, Policy and Government Relations**  
**AHIMA**  
**1730 M Street, NW, Suite 502**  
**Washington, DC 20036**  
**Telephone: (202) 659-9440**  
**E-Mail: [dan.rode@ahima.org](mailto:dan.rode@ahima.org)**  
***Quality Health Through Quality Information***

