Review Committee Evaluation Criteria and Process

ASC X12

Stacey Barber, ASC X12N Chair

This presentation is for informational purposes only

- The content should not be construed as legal advice

- If you have questions regarding specific information shared during this presentation, please send them to info@x12.org

- Visit www.x12.org for additional details about ASC X12

ABOUT ASC X12

- Chartered by the American National Standards Institute more than 30 years ago

- Develops and maintains EDI and CICA standards and XML schemas which drive business processes globally

- ASC X12 membership includes technologists and business process experts, encompassing health care, insurance, transportation, finance, government, supply chain and other industries.

- For additional information, visit www.x12.org.

REVIEW COMMITTEE EVALUATION CRITERIA AND PROCESS

- What suggestions are there for evaluation criteria?

  1. Ensure compliance with mandates

     - Federal

     - State

  2. Evaluate Return on Investment (ROI)

     - Financial impact compared to value add

  3. Evaluate what has changed

     - Business requirements

     - Impact assessments

  4. Evaluate stakeholders needs

REVIEW COMMITTEE EVALUATION CRITERIA AND PROCESS

5. What value does the change bring

   - Business value

   - Technology value

6. Evaluate dependencies and inter-dependencies with other transactions

7. Evaluate concurrent industry initiatives

8. Evaluate the complexity of the implementation

9. Evaluate the impact on adopted operating rules and ensure coordination of new operating rules with modification to existing transactions

10. Evaluate the urgency of the change

11. Evaluate the impact of delaying implementation

REVIEW COMMITTEE EVALUATION CRITERIA AND PROCESS

- Should the review committee evaluation criteria be used to evaluate new/proposed standards and operating rules?

  - Yes, ASC X12 feels that the same criteria in evaluating all standards and operating rules.

    - How does new/proposed standards enhance the administrative benefit of other adopted transactions?

    - How does new/proposed standards impact current mandates and adopted operating rules?

    - How does new/proposed operating rules impact the associated standard?

    - How does new/proposed operating rules impact adopted operating rules?

REVIEW COMMITTEE EVALUATION CRITERIA AND PROCESS

- Do you have evaluation criteria that can be used to evaluate standards and operating rules?

    - Yes, The ASC X12 Change Request System and associated ASC X12N procedures

        - Achieves consensus on change requests

        - Includes multi-stakeholder reviews of business requirements and technical solutions

        - Includes multi-level reviews by the Work Groups, Task Groups and Subcommittee

        - Includes Impact assessments

            - Are deficiencies corrected

            - Are ambiguities or gap clarified

            - Are new processes/needs supported

        - Allows for multi-stakeholder agreement that federal and state requirements are met

REVIEW COMMITTEE EVALUATION CRITERIA AND PROCESS

- What evaluation criteria have you seen that are effective?

  - Checklist used by OESS to evaluate the previous standards adopted

  - ASC X12 Business Analysis Report (BAR)

  - ROI analysis

REVIEW COMMITTEE EVALUATION CRITERIA AND PROCESS

- What process(es) should be used to evaluate standards and operating rules?

  - DSMO recommendations

  - WG business analysis and documentation (the BAR)

  - Public reviews and comment periods

    - Informational forum reviews of public comments

  - Process reviews and verifications

  - Multiple levels of stakeholder approvals

  - Technical reviews

  - ROI

Thank You