Opportunities to Increase Stability and Usefulness of the Vital Statistics System for Health Research
Timeliness of Obtaining Death Data

• The time from study completion to publication is two years or longer.

• Although there are many factors that contribute to this publication lag, the lag time to get death certificate data is one contributor.

• When a participant is unreachable, being able to check death status on a case-by-case basis would allow for a more rapid resolution of follow-up status, and any signs from these deaths that would suggest a yet undetected serious adverse event.

• Opportunity:
  • Electronic Data Registration System (EDRS) utilized by all states and localities to facilitate data entry
  • A common and shared EDRS
  • Note that births are reported almost entirely via electronic system
Revising Cost Structure and Support

• NDI currently charges per death certificate obtained
  • States rely on these revenues to support their vital statistics role, some of them entirely
  • Given current computerized systems, costs to provide these certificates are more fixed (upfront) than variable (per service)
  • Fees per death certificate can be expensive for large epidemiologic studies that make thousands of data requests to NDI

• Opportunity
  • Vital statistics are a critical national health research need, and greater cost sharing between federal and state support should be considered
  • Note that in the current cost structure, the fees per certificate are paid predominately by the federal government anyway, just through research grants to investigators who use these funds to pay the fees to NDI to access the data
  • Consider a volume discount fee structure with higher rates for smaller studies and lower rates for larger studies
Streamlined process for linking NDI and health research data

- Current Process:
  - Researchers send a list with personal identifiers
  - NDI sends back candidate matches (one to many) based on probabilistic matching (but not the full dataset for each potential match)
  - Research team reviews and determines best match
- Many, including AHA, have recommended improved linkages
- Opportunity to Improve Linkages
  - Provide a protected API or data enclave that would let researchers enter personally identifiable information and retrieve immediately from NDI a full dataset of probabilistic matches to view
  - Researchers select the best fit to their participant and retrieve only the selected dataset to link to their database
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**Executive Summary**

A strategic goal of the American Heart Association (AHA) is to reduce heart disease, stroke, and risk for both 25% and 30% of the American Population to meet the Heart Disease and Stroke Prevention 2020 objectives and national goals for heart disease and stroke prevention and management. However, the current healthтика monitoring surveillance systems in the United States cannot track progress toward these goals in a comprehensive and systematic manner. This summary presents background information on the current surveillance systems for monitoring progress toward preventing heart disease and stroke in the United States and recommendations for filling important gaps in that system. This information will serve as an important basis for advocacy to guide the development of a comprehensive surveillance system to support the current AHA’s and AHA goals and the likely future goals of eliminating the epidemic burden of heart disease and stroke. Recommendations are categorized as overarching (fundamental recommendations that cut across age and sex) or more specific. They can be described according to priority: (1) for high priority, II for intermediate priority, III for low priority recommendations were made, ranging 0–1 for early aging (0–2 years), 1 for intermediate aging (2–4 years), and III for later aging, and cost ($1 to $10 million per year) for estimates to $10 million, or $10 million per year. In addition, potential barriers to action are addressed.

**Overarching Recommendations**

1. A National Heart Disease and Stroke Surveillance system should be established to produce annual reports on key indicators of progress in the prevention and management of heart disease and stroke. P, S, C, B
2. Cardiovascular disease (CVD), including cardiac arrest, acute coronary syndrome (heart attack), and stroke, and chronic heart failure (CHF), and related intervention procedures, should be classified as important conditions. P, S, B
3. Their influence on patients’ outcomes with this health system should be revised to include collection of data on lipoprotein cholesterol concentrations, blood pressure, and glycated hemoglobin values. P, S, C, B
4. Both hospital and ambulatory care should consider outcomes assessed by molecular signature and unnecessary duplication in data sources should be avoided. P, S, C, B

Healthier Lives through Behavioral and Social Sciences
. . . But Let’s Think Big -
*A National Health Surveillance System*
Others Have It; Why Not Us?

Integrated, comprehensive national (international) surveillance systems that generate temporally dense data and provide easy data access, not only to researchers but to consumers as well.
Our Fragmented, Disconnected Health Surveillance System
Brief Vision for a National Health Surveillance System

• Monitor the health of the U.S. population from birth to death
• Unique health ID for every individual
• Electronic Health Records that link to each other and to related data sets
• Increasing integration of protected genetic data with EHRs
• Core basic survey data obtained on all, more in depth or time intensive data from representative subsets
• Ability of participants to donate data, especially non-traditional data (e.g., activity trackers, heart rate monitors, location data from phone)
• Invitations to engage in clinical studies and trials
• All integrated and accessible from a data enclave that allows researchers, public health officials, and the public to track the health of the nation in real time